

1 Jen-Feng Lee, SBN 204328 (jflee@ltpacificlaw.com)  
2 Kenneth K. Tanji, Jr., SBN 162273 (ktanji@ltpacificlaw.com)  
3 LT PACIFIC LAW GROUP, LLP  
4 17800 Castleton Street, #560  
5 City of Industry, CA 91748  
6 T: 626-810-7200  
7 F: 626-810-7300

**JS-6**

8 Robert Aycock (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) (raycock@pa-law.com)  
9 Joseph G. Pia (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) (joe.pia@pa-law.com)  
10 PIA ANDERSON MOSS HOYT  
11 136 East South Temple, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
12 Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
13 Telephone: (801) 350-9000  
14 Facsimile: (801) 350-9010

15 Attorneys for Defendants

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

18 ATEN INTERNATIONAL, CO. LTD.

No. 2:15-cv-04424-AJG-AJW

19 Plaintiff,

**JUDGMENT**

20 vs.

21 UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO.,  
22 LTD., et al.

Hon. Andrew J. Guilford

23 Defendants.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 This action came before the Court for trial by jury beginning September  
2 19, 2017 before a duly impaneled and sworn jury. The parties to the action are  
3 plaintiff ATEN International Co., Ltd. (“ATEN”) and defendants Uniclass  
4 Technology Co., Ltd. (“Uniclass”), Electronic Technology Co., Ltd. of  
5 Dongguan Uniclass, Airlink 101, Phoebe Micro Inc., Broadtech International  
6 Co., Ltd. D/B/A Linkskey, Black Box Corporation, and Black Box Corporation  
7 of Pennsylvania (the “Other Defendants”) (collectively, “Defendants”). The  
8 issues have been tried, and the jury rendered a verdict on October 4, 2017. The  
9 verdict was accepted by the Court and filed by the Clerk. (Dkt. 457.)

10 Therefore, pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
11 JUDGMENT is hereby entered in this matter as follows:

12 IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby  
13 entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
14 noninfringement with respect to direct and literal infringement and infringement  
15 under the doctrine of equivalents of claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,640,289  
16 (“the ’289 patent”).

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
18 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
19 noninfringement with respect to indirect infringement of claims 1-20 of the ’289  
20 patent.

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
22 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
23 noninfringement with respect to willful infringement of claims 1-20 of the ’289  
24 patent.

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
26 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
27 noninfringement with respect to direct and literal infringement and infringement  
28

1 under the doctrine of equivalents of claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,957,287 (“the  
2 ’287 patent”).

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
4 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
5 noninfringement with respect to indirect infringement of claim 5 the ’287 patent.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
7 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
8 noninfringement with respect to willful infringement of claim 5 the ’287 patent.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
10 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
11 noninfringement with respect to direct and literal infringement and infringement  
12 under the doctrine of equivalents of claims 1, 3, and 4 of U.S. Patent No.  
13 7,472,217 (“the ’217 patent”).

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
15 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
16 noninfringement with respect to indirect infringement of claims 1, 3, and 4 of the  
17 ’217 patent.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
19 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
20 noninfringement with respect to willful infringement of claims 1, 3, and 4 of the  
21 ’217 patent.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
23 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
24 noninfringement with respect to direct and literal and infringement under the  
25 doctrine of equivalents infringement of claims 3, 8, and 10 of U.S. Patent No.  
26 8,589,141 (“the ’141 patent”).

27 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
28 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of

1 noninfringement with respect to indirect infringement of claims 3, 8, and 10 of  
2 the '141 patent.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
4 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN of a finding of  
5 noninfringement with respect to willful infringement of claims 3, 8, and 10 of the  
6 '141 patent.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
8 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN that Defendants did  
9 prove invalidity of claims 1, 3, and 4 of the '217 patent based upon obviousness.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
11 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against ATEN that Defendants did  
12 prove invalidity of claims 3, 8, and 10 of the '141 patent based upon anticipation.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is  
14 hereby entered in favor of Defendants and that Defendants do not owe any  
15 damages to ATEN.

16

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18

19

20 Dated: December 12, 2017

21

22



23

24

---

Hon. Andrew J. Guilford  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

25

26

27

28