**Draft** – Not for Implementation

#### **Content of Premarket Submissions for** 1 **Management of Cybersecurity in** 2 **Medical Devices** 3 4 **Draft Guidance for Industry and** 5 **Food and Drug Administration Staff** 6 7 **DRAFT GUIDANCE** 8 This draft guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes 9 10 only. 11 Document issued on October 18, 2018. 12 13 14 You should submit comments and suggestions regarding this draft document within 150 days of 15 publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft 16 guidance. Submit electronic comments to https://www.regulations.gov. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 17 18 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Identify all comments with the docket number 19 listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the Federal Register.

20

For questions about this document, contact Suzanne Schwartz, Office of the Center Director at
(301) 796-6937 or email CyberMed@fda.hhs.gov. For questions about this document regarding
CBER-regulated devices, contact the Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development
(OCOD) at 1-800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010.

25 26

27

28

# When final, this guidance will supersede Content of Premarket Submissions for Management of Cybersecurity in Medical Devices – Final Guidance, October 2, 2014

U.S. FOOD & DRUG

ADMINISTRATION

- 29 30
- 31 32

FDA

33 34 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological Health Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research

35

**Draft** – Not for Implementation

# Preface

37 38

# 39 Additional Copies

40

# 41 **CDRH**

- 42 Additional copies are available from the Internet. You may also send an e-mail request to
- 43 <u>CDRH-Guidance@fda.hhs.gov</u> to receive a copy of the guidance. Please use the document
- 44 number GUD1825 to identify the guidance you are requesting.
- 45

# 46 **CBER**

- 47 Additional copies are available from the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER),
- 48 Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development (OCOD), 10903 New Hampshire Ave.,
- 49 Bldg. 71, Room 3128, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, or by calling 1-800-835-4709 or 240-402-
- 50 8010, by email, <u>ocod@fda.hhs.gov</u> or from the Internet at
- 51 <u>https://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guid</u>
- 52 <u>ances/default.htm</u>.

53

54		<b>Table of Contents</b>	
55			
56			
57	I.	Introduction	4
58	II.	Scope	5
59	III.	Definitions	6
60	IV.	General Principles & Risk Assessment.	8
61	V.	Designing a Trustworthy Device: Application of NIST Cybersecurity Framework	11
62	VI.	Labeling Recommendations for Devices with Cybersecurity Risks	18
63	VII.	Cybersecurity Documentation	21
64	VIII	Recognized Standards	24
65			
66			

**Draft** – Not for Implementation

# Content of Premarket Submissions for Management of Cybersecurity in Medical Devices

# Draft Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff

72 73 74

75

76

77

78 79

71

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff or Office responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

80

# 81 I. Introduction

82

83 The need for effective cybersecurity to ensure medical device functionality and safety has 84 become more important with the increasing use of wireless, Internet- and network- connected 85 devices, portable media (e.g. USB or CD), and the frequent electronic exchange of medical 86 device-related health information. In addition, cybersecurity threats to the healthcare sector have 87 become more frequent, more severe, and more clinically impactful. Cybersecurity incidents have 88 rendered medical devices and hospital networks inoperable, disrupting the delivery of patient 89 care across healthcare facilities in the US and globally. Such cyberattacks and exploits can delay 90 diagnoses and/or treatment and may lead to patient harm.

91

92 This guidance is intended to provide recommendations to industry regarding cybersecurity

93 device design, labeling, and the documentation that FDA recommends be included in premarket

submissions for devices with cybersecurity risk. These recommendations can facilitate an
 efficient premarket review process and help ensure that marketed medical devices are

95 sufficiently resilient to cybersecurity threats.

97

Although FDA issued final guidance addressing premarket expectations in 2014, the rapidly

- 99 evolving landscape, and the increased understanding of the threats and their potential
- 100 mitigations, necessitates an updated approach. This guidance has been developed by the FDA to
- 101 assist industry by identifying issues related to cybersecurity that manufacturers should address in
- 102 the design and development of their medical devices as well as in preparing premarket

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

- 103 submissions for those devices. The recommendations contained in this guidance document are
- 104 intended to supplement FDA's "<u>Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for</u>
- 105 Software Contained in Medical Devices"<sup>1</sup> and "Guidance to Industry: Cybersecurity for
- 106 <u>Networked Medical Devices Containing Off-the-Shelf (OTS) Software.</u><sup>22</sup> When finalized, this
- 107 guidance will replace the final guidance "<u>Content of Premarket Submissions for Management of</u>
- 108 Cybersecurity in Medical Devices."<sup>3</sup>
- 109
- 110 For the current edition of the FDA-recognized standard(s) referenced in this document, see the
- 111 FDA Recognized Consensus Standards Database.<sup>4</sup>
- 112
- 113 FDA's guidance documents, including this draft guidance, do not establish legally enforceable
- 114 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should
- be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are
- 116 cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidance means that something is suggested or
- 117 recommended, but not required.

# 118 II. Scope

- 119
- 120 This guidance provides recommendations to consider and information to include in FDA
- 121 medical device premarket submissions for effective cybersecurity management. Effective
- 122 cybersecurity management is intended to decrease the risk of patient harm by reducing
- 123 device exploitability which can result in intentional or unintentional compromise of device
- 124 safety and essential performance.<sup>5</sup>
- 125
- 126 This guidance document is applicable to the following premarket submissions for devices
- 127 that contain software (including firmware) or programmable logic as well as software that
- 128 is a medical device (collectively referred to as "software devices").<sup>6</sup>
- 129 130

131

132

133

134

- Premarket Notification (510(k)) submissions including Traditional, Special, and Abbreviated;
- De Novo requests;
  - Premarket Approval Applications (PMAs);
  - Product Development Protocols (PDPs); and

<sup>2</sup> <u>https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM077823</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM089593</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM356190

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available at <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfStandards/search.cfm</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/(R)2012 and A1:2012, C1:2009/(R)2012 and A2:2010/(R)2012 (Consolidated Text) Medical electrical equipment— Part 1: General requirements for basic safety and essential performance (IEC 60601-1:2005, MOD), section 3.27 defines "Essential Performance" as performance of a clinical function, other than that related to basic safety, where loss or degradation beyond the limits specified by the manufacturer results in an unacceptable risk."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Manufacturers may also consider applying the cybersecurity principles described in this guidance as appropriate to Investigational Device Exemption submissions and to devices exempt from premarket review.

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

• Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) applications.

# 136 **III. Definitions**

137 The definitions listed here are for the purposes of this guidance and are intended for use in the 138 context of assessing medical device cybersecurity.

139

141

140 Asset – anything that has value to an individual or an organization.<sup>7</sup>

Authentication – the act of verifying the identity of a user, process, or device as a prerequisite to
 allowing access to the device, its data, information, or systems.<sup>8</sup>

144

145 **Authenticity** – the property of being genuine and being able to be verified and trusted;

146 confidence that the contents of a message originates from the expected party and has not been 147 modified during transmission or storage.<sup>9</sup>

148

150

149 Authorization – the right or a permission that is granted to access a device resource.<sup>10</sup>

Availability – the property of data, information, and information systems to be accessible and
 usable on a timely basis in the expected manner (i.e. the assurance that information will be
 available when needed).

154

155 Confidentiality – the property of data, information, or system structures to be accessible only to 156 authorized persons and entities and are processed at authorized times and in the authorized 157 manner, thereby helping ensure data and system security. Confidentiality provides the assurance 158 that no unauthorized users (i.e., only trusted users) have access to the data, information, or 159 system structures.

160

161 **Configuration** – the possible conditions, parameters, and specifications with which a device or 162 system component can be described or arranged.<sup>11</sup>

163

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> As defined in ISO/IEC 27032 Information technology — Security techniques — Guidelines for cybersecurity.
 <sup>8</sup> Adapted from NIST FIPS 200 Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems: Authentication is defined as verifying the identity of a user, process, or device, often as a prerequisite to allowing access to resources in an information system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> From NIST SP 800-53 Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations: Authenticity is defined as the property of being genuine and being able to be verified and trusted; confidence in the validity of a transmission, a message, or message originator. See Authentication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Adapted from NISTIR 7298 Glossary of Key Information Security Terms: Authorization is the access privileges granted to a user, program, or process or the act of granting those privileges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Adapted from NIST SP 800-128 Guide for Security-Focused Configuration Management of Information Systems: Configuration is the possible conditions, parameters, and specifications with which an information system or system component can be described or arranged.

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

164 Cryptographically strong - cryptographic algorithms, protocols and implementations that 165 authoritative sources in cryptography would consider sufficiently secure. 166 167 **Cybersecurity** – is the process of preventing unauthorized access, modification, misuse or denial 168 of use, or the unauthorized use of information that is stored, accessed, or transferred from a 169 medical device to an external recipient. 170 171 Cybersecurity Bill of Materials (CBOM) – a list that includes but is not limited to commercial, 172 open source, and off-the-shelf software and hardware components that are or could become 173 susceptible to vulnerabilities. 174 175 **Denial of Service** – actions that prevent the system from functioning in accordance with its 176 intended purpose. A piece of equipment or entity may be rendered inoperable or forced to operate in a degraded state; operations that depend on timeliness may be delayed.<sup>12</sup> 177 178 179 **Encryption** –the cryptographic transformation of data into a form that conceals the data's 180 original meaning to prevent it from being known or used.<sup>13</sup> 181 182 End of support – a point beyond which the product manufacturer ceases to provide support, 183 which may include cybersecurity support, for a product or service. 184 185 **Integrity** – the property of data, information and software to be accurate and complete and have 186 not been improperly modified. 187 Jitter – as it relates to queuing, the difference in latency of packets.<sup>14</sup> 188 189 190 Life-cycle – all phases in the life of a medical device, from initial conception to final 191 decommissioning and disposal.<sup>15</sup> 192 193 Malware - software designed with malicious intent to disrupt normal function, gather sensitive 194 information, and/or access other connected systems. 195 196 **Patchability/Updatability** – the ease with which a device and related systems can be updated 197 and patched in a timely manner. 198

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> From NIST SP 800-24 PBX Vulnerability Analysis: Finding Holes in Your PBX Before Someone Else Does. <sup>13</sup> From NIST SP 800-82 Guide to Industrial Control Systems (ICS) Security. Cryptographic transformation of data (called "plaintext") into a form (called "ciphertext") that conceals the data's original meaning to prevent it from being known or used. If the transformation is reversible, the corresponding reversal process is called "decryption," which is a transformation that restores encrypted data to its original state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> From NIST SP 800-127 Guide to Securing WiMAX Wireless Communications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 Medical Devices – Application of Risk Management to Medical Devices

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

Patient harm – is defined as physical injury or damage to the health of patients, including
 death.<sup>16</sup> Cybersecurity exploits (e.g. loss of authenticity, availability, integrity, or confidentiality) of
 a device may pose a risk to health and may result in patient harm.

- 202
   203 Privileged User a user who is authorized (and, therefore, trusted) to perform security-relevant
   204 functions that ordinary users are not authorized to perform.<sup>17</sup>
- 205

Quality of Service – the measurable end-to-end performance properties of a network service,
 which can be guaranteed in advance by a Service Level Agreement between an end-user and a
 service provider, so as to satisfy specific customer application requirements.<sup>18</sup>

210 **Risk** – the combination of the probability of occurrence of harm and the severity of that harm.<sup>19</sup>

211
212 Risk Analysis – the systematic use of available information to identify hazards and to estimate
213 the risk.<sup>19</sup>

214

215 **Trustworthy Device** –a medical device containing hardware, software, and/or programmable

216 logic that: (1) is reasonably secure from cybersecurity intrusion and misuse; (2) provides a

217 reasonable level of availability, reliability, and correct operation; (3) is reasonably suited to

218 performing its intended functions; and (4) adheres to generally accepted security procedures.<sup>20</sup>

# 219 IV. General Principles & Risk Assessment

220

In order to demonstrate a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness for software devices,

documentation related to the requirements of the Quality System Regulation (QSR) (21 CFR Part 820) is often a necessary part of the premarket submission. See also "Guidance for the Content

of Premarket Submissions for Software Contained in Medical Devices" (available at

https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocu

- 226 ments/ucm089593.pdf). As part of OSR design controls, a manufacturer must "establish and
- maintain procedures for validating the devices design," which "shall include software validation
- and risk analysis, where appropriate." 21 CFR 820.30(g).
- 229

As part of the software validation and risk analysis required by 21 CFR 820.30(g), software

- 231 device manufacturers may need to establish a cybersecurity vulnerability and management
- approach, where appropriate. FDA recommends that this approach include a set of cybersecurity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 Medical devices—Application of risk management to medical devices defines "*harm*" as the physical injury or damage to the health of people, or damage to property or the environment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> From NIST SP 800-53 Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> From CNSSI 4009 Committee on National Security Systems (CNSS) Glossary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 Medical Devices – Application of Risk Management to Medical Devices

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Adapted from NIST SP 800-32 Introduction to Public Key Technology and the Federal PKI Infrastructure which defines trustworthy system as Computer hardware, software and procedures that: (1) are reasonably secure from intrusion and misuse; (2) provide a reasonable level of availability, reliability, and correct operation; (3) are reasonably suited to performing their intended functions; and (4) adhere to generally accepted security procedures.

### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

233 234 235 236	design controls to ensure medical device cybersecurity and maintain medical device safety and effectiveness. Such design controls may make it more likely that FDA will find your device meets its applicable statutory standard for premarket review. <sup>21</sup>
237	FDA recognizes that medical device security is a shared responsibility among stakeholders,
238	including health care facilities, patients, health care providers, and manufacturers of medical
239	devices. Failure to maintain cybersecurity can result in compromised device functionality, loss
240	of data (medical or personal) authenticity, availability or integrity, or exposure of other
241	connected devices or networks to security threats. This in turn may have the potential to result in
242	patient illness, injury, or death.
243	
244	The recommendations in this guidance are intended to aid manufacturers to:
245	1) employ a risk-based approach to the design and development of medical devices with
246	appropriate cybersecurity protections;
247	2) take a holistic approach to device cybersecurity by assessing risks and mitigations
248	throughout the product's lifecycle;
249	3) ensure maintenance and continuity of critical device safety and essential
250	performance <sup>22</sup> ; and
251	4) promote the development of trustworthy devices to help ensure the continued safety
252	and effectiveness of the devices.
253	
254	The QSR requires that manufacturers of devices automated with computer software establish
255	and maintain procedures to ensure that the design requirements relating to the device are
256	appropriate and address the intended use of the device, including the needs of the user and
257	patient. 21 CFR 820.30(c). FDA recommends that manufacturers consider the following
258	elements as they address cybersecurity during the design and development of their medical
259	device:
260	
261	• identification of assets, threats, and vulnerabilities
262	<ul> <li>assessment of the impact of threats and vulnerabilities on device functionality and end</li> </ul>
263	users/patients;
264	• assessment of the likelihood <sup>23</sup> of a threat and of a vulnerability being exploited;
265	<ul> <li>determination of risk levels and suitable mitigation strategies; and</li> </ul>
266	<ul> <li>assessment of residual risk and risk acceptance criteria.</li> </ul>
267	
268	Medical devices capable of connecting (wirelessly or hard-wired) to another device, to the
269	Internet or other network, or to portable media (e.g. USB or CD) are more vulnerable to
270	cybersecurity threats than devices that are not connected. Manufacturers should employ a risk-

271 based approach when determining the design features and the level of cybersecurity resilience

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> For more information about how FDA evaluates substantial equivalence in 510(k) submissions, see the FDA guidance document "<u>The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)]</u>
 <sup>22</sup> <u>Postmarket Management of Cybersecurity in Medical Devices</u>

https://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm482022.pdf <sup>23</sup> Likelihood assessments should leverage an analysis of exploitability not probability.

272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280	appropriate for a device. A Cybersecurity Bill of Materials (CBOM) can be a critical element in identifying assets, threats, and liabilities. Leveraging a CBOM may also support compliance with purchasing controls (21 CFR 820.50), by facilitating the establishment of requirements regarding cybersecurity for all purchased or otherwise received products. The extent to which security controls are needed will depend on the device's intended use, the presence and functionality of its electronic data interfaces, its intended environment of use, the type of cybersecurity vulnerabilities present, the exploitability of the vulnerability, either intentionally or unintentionally, and the probable risk of patient harm due to a cybersecurity breach.
281 282 283	For the purposes of this guidance, and to help clarify FDA's premarket cybersecurity recommendations, we are defining two "tiers" of devices according to their cybersecurity risk:
284 285	<u>Tier 1 "Higher Cybersecurity Risk"</u>
286	A device is a Tier 1 device if the following criteria are met:
287	
288	1) The device is capable of connecting (e.g., wired, wirelessly) to another medical or
289	non-medical product, or to a network, or to the Internet; AND
290	
291	2) A cybersecurity incident affecting the device could directly result in patient harm to
292	multiple patients.
293	
294	Examples of Tier 1 devices, include but are not limited to, implantable cardioverter
295	defibrillators (ICDs), pacemakers, left ventricular assist devices (LVADs), brain
296	stimulators and neurostimulators, dialysis devices, infusion and insulin pumps, and the
297	supporting connected systems that interact with these devices such as home monitors
298	and those with command and control functionality such as programmers.
299	
300	Tier 2 "Standard Cybersecurity Risk"
301	
302	A medical device for which the criteria for a Tier 1 device are not met.
303	
304	For this cybersecurity guidance only, FDA introduces the tiers of higher and standard
305	cybersecurity risk to aid medical device manufacturers in the design of secure devices and aid
306	in providing supporting documentation to FDA. We recognize that this cybersecurity risk
307	tiering may not track to FDA's existing statutory device classifications. For example, based on
308	the manufacturer's assessment and device design, a class II device such as an infusion pump,
309	may meet the criteria for Tier 1 higher cybersecurity risk while a class III device, such as a
310	coronary atherectomy device with no connectivity may meet the criteria for Tier 2 standard
311	cybersecurity risk. The principles and approaches described in this guidance are broadly
312	applicable to all medical devices and are intended to be consistent with the National Institute
313	of Standards and Technology (NIST) Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

314 Cybersecurity to manage cybersecurity-related risks by focusing on core functions of identify, protect, detect, respond, and recover.<sup>24</sup> 315 316

#### **Designing a Trustworthy Device: Application of NIST** V. 317 **Cybersecurity Framework** 318

319

320 As mentioned in Section IV, for software devices, documentation related to design controls,

and specifically design validation and software validation and risk analysis in 21 CFR 321

322 820.30(g), is often necessary to provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness in a

323 premarket submission. For devices with cybersecurity risks, we recommend that

324 manufacturers design devices that are trustworthy because trustworthy devices may be more

325 likely to meet their applicable statutory standard for premarket review and because trustworthy

- 326 devices are more likely to remain safe and effective throughout their life-cycle. Trustworthy
- 327 devices: (1) are reasonably secure from cybersecurity intrusion and misuse; (2) provide a
- 328 reasonable level of availability, reliability, and correct operation; (3) are reasonably suited to

performing their intended functions; and (4) adhere to generally accepted security procedures. 329 330 In addition, documentation demonstrating the trustworthiness of a device will help FDA more

331 quickly and efficiently assess the device's safety and effectiveness with respect to

- 332 cybersecurity.

333

334 This section describes the specific design features and cybersecurity design controls that the

- 335 Agency believes should be included in the design of a trustworthy device. We recommend
- 336 premarket submissions for Tier 1 devices with higher cybersecurity risk to include 337
- documentation demonstrating how the device design and risk assessment incorporate the
- 338 cybersecurity design controls described below. For Tier 2 devices with standard cybersecurity
- 339 risk, we recommend that manufacturers include documentation in their premarket submissions
- 340 that either 1) demonstrates they have incorporated each of the specific design features and
- 341 cybersecurity design controls described in this section, or 2) provide a risk-based rationale for
- 342 why specific cybersecurity design controls, described in this section, are not appropriate. Risk-
- 343 based rationales should leverage an analysis of exploitablity to describe likelihood instead of probability.
- 344 345
- 346 Submitted documentation may include the demonstration of comparable and/or additional
- 347 cybersecurity design controls that may not be described in this document. Furthermore, as
- 348 cybersecurity design controls are established early on during the development phase, we
- 349 recommend industry utilize the FDA presubmission process to discuss design considerations
- 350 for meeting adequacy of cybersecurity risk management throughout the device life-cycle.<sup>25</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity, available at: https://www.nist.gov/cyberframework

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>For more information, see FDA's guidance entitled "Request for Feedback on Medical Device Submissions: The Pre-Submission Program and Meetings with Food and Drug Administrative Staff" (https://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm311176.pdf)

**Draft** – Not for Implementation

# 351

# A. Identify and Protect Device Assets and Functionality

352

353 Manufacturers should design trustworthy devices and provide documentation to demonstrate the 354 trustworthiness of their devices in premarket review. In particular, devices and systems should be 355 designed to protect assets and functionality in order to reduce the risk of multi-patient harm due 356 to the loss of authenticity, availability, integrity, and confidentiality. Specifically, protection 357 mechanisms should prevent all unauthorized use (through all interfaces); ensure code, data, and 358 execution integrity (subversion of system functionality/safety/security features); and as 359 appropriate, protect confidentiality of data (insofar as its release could be leveraged to effect 360 multi-patient harm. As a part of premarket submissions, manufacturers should submit 361 documentation demonstrating how these design expectations are met.

362

## 1. **Prevent Unauthorized Use**

363

364 In order to reduce the risk of multi-patient harm due to the loss of authenticity, availability, 365 integrity, and confidentiality, we have provided design recommendations with respect to authentication, authorization, and encryption in the section below. Authentication is used to 366 367 prevent unauthorized access to device functions and to prevent unauthorized software execution. It provides the assurance that a communication and/or command is unmodified and originates 368 369 from an authorized source, which, in conjunction with other controls that prevent replays, makes 370 it more difficult for external adversaries to issue potentially harmful commands to a safety-371 critical system. Usually, authorization is only effective as a security control in conjunction with 372 correctly implemented authentication. Except in circumstances when system design features 373 intrinsically provide equivalent or stronger assurance, all devices should properly authenticate 374 potentially harmful commands and/or data. 375 376 As a defensive measure, authorization enforces privileges associated with authentication credentials and/or roles to reject all disallowed behavior. That means that an adversary using a 377 378 credential with lower privileges should not be able to access device resources or functionality

that require higher privileges (i.e., the default device design should prevent this from occurring).

380 Devices should have appropriate protections in place that prevent sensitive information from

being read by unauthorized parties either in storage or in transmission. Encryption should be

382 used as appropriate, since it protects sensitive information from unauthorized disclosure. The

383 following outline provides recommended design implementations of authentication,

authorization, and encryption:

385	(a)	Limit	Access to Trusted Users & Devices Only
386 387		(i)	Limit access to devices through the authentication of users (e.g., user ID and password, smartcard, biometric).
388 389		(ii)	Use automatic timed methods to terminate sessions within the system where appropriate for the use environment.
390 391 392 393		(iii)	Employ a layered authorization model by differentiating privileges based on the user role (e.g., caregiver, patient, health care provider, system administrator) or device functions.
394 395 396 397		(iv)	Use appropriate authentication (e.g., multi-factor authentication to permit privileged device access to system administrators, service technicians, maintenance personnel).
398 399 400 401 402 403		(v)	Strengthen password protection. Do not use credentials that are hardcoded, default, easily-guessed, easily compromised (i.e., passwords which are the same for each device; unchangeable; can persist as default; difficult to change; and vulnerable to public disclosure). Limit public access to passwords used for privileged device access.
404 405		(vi)	Consider physical locks on devices and their communication ports to minimize tampering.
406 407	(b)	Authe Comm	enticate and Check Authorization of Safety-Critical nands
408 409 410		(i)	Use authentication to prevent unauthorized access to device functions and to prevent unauthorized (arbitrary) software execution.
411 412 413		(ii)	Require user authentication before permitting software or firmware updates, including those affecting the operating system, applications, and anti-malware.
414 415 416		(iii)	Use cryptographically strong authentication resident on the device to authenticate personnel, messages, commands and as applicable, all other communication pathways.
417 418		(iv)	Authenticate all external connections. For example, if a device connects to an offsite server, then it and the server

419 420		should mutually authenticate, even if the connection is initiated over one or more existing trusted channels.
420		initiated over one of more existing trusted enamiers.
421	(v)	Authenticate firmware and software. Verify authentication
422		tags (e.g., signatures, message authentication codes
423		(MACs)) of software/firmware content, version numbers,
424		and other metadata. The version numbers intended to be
425		installed should themselves be signed/have
426		MACs. Devices should be electronically identifiable (e.g.,
427		model number, serial number) to authorized users.
428	(vi)	Perform authorization checks based on authentication
429		credentials or other irrefutable evidence. For example, a
430		medical device programmer should have elevated
431		privileges that are granted based on cryptographic
432		authentication or a signal of intent that cannot physically be
433		produced by another device, e.g., a home monitor, with a
434		software-based attack.
435	(vii)	
436		which is not expressly permitted by a device is denied by
437		default. For example, the device should generally reject all
438		unauthorized connections (e.g., incoming TCP, USB,
439		Bluetooth, serial connections).
440	(viii	) The principle of least privilege should be applied to allow
441	Ň	only the level of access necessary to perform a function.
442	2. Ensure T	rusted Content by Maintaining Code, Data, and
443		n Integrity
J-TJ	Excention	integrity
444	(a) Cod	le Integrity
445	(i)	Only allow installation of cryptographically verified
446		firmware/software updates. Use cryptographically signed
447		updates to help prevent unauthorized reduction in the level
448		of protection (downgrade or rollback attacks) by ensuring

## Draft – Not for Implementation

449 450			that the new update is more recent than the currently installed version.
430			
451		(ii)	Where feasible, ensure that the integrity of software is
452			validated prior to execution, e.g., 'whitelisting' based on
453			digital signatures.
454	(b)	Data	Integrity
455		(i) V	erify the integrity of all incoming data (ensuring it is not
456		m	odified in transit or at rest, and it is well-formed/compliant
457		W	ith the expected protocol/specification).
458		(ii) E	nsure capability of secure data transfer to and from the
459			evice, and when appropriate, use methods for encryption and
460			uthentication of the end points with which data is being
461		tr	ansferred.
462		(iii)P	rotect the integrity of data necessary to ensure the safety and
463		es	ssential performance of the device.
464		(iv)U	se current NIST recommended standards for cryptography
465		(6	e.g., FIPS 140-2, NIST <sup>26</sup> Suite B <sup>27</sup> ), or equivalent-strength
466		CI	ryptographic protection for communications channels.
467		(v) U	se unique per device cryptographically secure communication
468		k	eys to prevent leveraging the knowledge of one key to access
469		a	multitude of devices.
470	(c)	Exec	ution Integrity
471		When	e feasible, use industry-accepted best practices to
472			cain/verify integrity of code while it is being executed on the
473		devic	
474	3. Main	tain (	Confidentiality of Data
475			
476	Manufacturers should ensure	e the co	nfidentiality of any/all data whose disclosure could lead to
477			redentials, encryption). Loss of confidentiality of credentials
478			nulti-patient harm. Lack of encryption to protect sensitive
479			" can expose this information to misuse that can lead to
480	patient harm.	-	•

 <sup>26</sup> NIST FIPS 140-2 Cryptographic Module Validation Program available at: <u>https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/Cryptographic-Module-Validation-Program/Standards</u>
 <sup>27</sup>NIST FIPS 140-2 Suite B available at: <u>https://csrc.nist.gov/CSRC/media/projects/cryptographic-module-validation-program/documents/security-policies/140sp2851.pdf</u>

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

481

482 Other harms, such as loss of confidential protected health information (PHI), are not considered
483 "patient harms" for the purposes of this guidance. Although protecting the confidentiality of PHI
484 is beyond the scope of this document, it should be noted that manufacturers and/or other entities,
485 depending on the facts and circumstances, may be obligated to protect the confidentiality,
486 integrity and availability of PHI throughout the product lifecycle, in accordance with applicable
487 federal and state laws, including the Health Information Portability and Accountability Act
488 (HIPAA).<sup>28</sup>

489

# **B.** Detect, Respond, Recover: Design Expectations

490 491

Proper device design can significantly reduce cybersecurity risk for the device while it is

492 marketed and deployed in its use environment. Therefore, appropriate design should anticipate
493 the need to detect and respond to dynamic cybersecurity risks, including the need for deployment
494 of cybersecurity routine updates and patches as well as emergency workarounds. The following

495 items articulate recommendations for the design of a trustworthy device as it pertains to the

496 NIST core functions of detect, respond, and recover.

497     1.       498	C	n the Device to Detect Cybersecurity Events in a ly Fashion
499 500 501	(a)	Implement design features that allow for security compromises to be detected, recognized, logged, timed, and acted upon during normal use.
502 503 504	(b)	Devices should be designed to permit routine security and antivirus scanning such that the safety and essential performance of the device is not impacted.
505 506 507 508 509 510 511	(c)	Ensure the design enables forensic evidence capture. The design should include mechanisms to create and store log files for security events. Documentation should include how and where the log file is located, stored, recycled, archived, and how it could be consumed by automated analysis software (e.g. Intrusion Detection System, IDS). Examples of security events include but are not limited to configuration changes, network anomalies, login

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The HHS Office for Civil Rights enforces the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) Privacy Rule, which protects the privacy of individually identifiable health information that covered entities or their business associates create, receive, maintain, or transmit; the HIPAA Security Rule, which sets national standards for the security of electronic protected health information; the HIPAA Breach Notification Rule, which requires covered entities and business associates to provide notification following a breach of unsecured protected health information; and the confidentiality provisions of the Patient Safety Rule, which protect identifiable information being used to analyze patient safety events and improve patient safety. See Health Information Privacy at: http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/index.html.

512 513			attempts, and anomalous traffic (e.g., sending requests to unknown entities).
514 515 516 517 518		(d)	The device design should limit the potential impact of vulnerabilities by specifying a secure configuration. Secure configurations may include endpoint protections such as anti-malware, firewall/firewall rules, whitelisting, defining security event parameters, logging parameters, physical security detection.
519 520 521 522		(e)	The device design should enable software configuration management and permit tracking and control of software changes to be electronically obtainable (i.e., machine readable) by authorized users.
523 524 525		(f)	The product life-cycle, including its design, should facilitate a variant analysis of a vulnerability across device models and product lines.
526 527		(g)	The device design should provide a CBOM in a machine readable, electronic format to be consumed automatically. <sup>29</sup>
528 529	2.	0	gn the Device to Respond to and contain the impact of a ntial cybersecurity incident

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Recommendation 2.2 from the Health Care Industry and Cybersecurity Task Force (HCIC TF) Report on Improving Cybersecurity in the Health Care Industry available here: <u>https://www.phe.gov/Preparedness/planning/CyberTF/Documents/report2017.pdf</u>

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

530 531		(a)	The device should be designed to notify users upon detection of a potential cybersecurity breach.
532 533		(b)	The device should be designed to anticipate the need for software patches and updates to address future cybersecurity vulnerabilities.
534 535		(c)	The device should be designed to facilitate the rapid verification, validation, and testing of patches and updates.
536 537		(d)	The design architecture should facilitate the rapid deployment of patches and updates.
538	3.	Desig	n the Device to Recover capabilities or services that
539		were	impaired due to a cybersecurity incident
540 541		(a)	Implement device features that protect critical functionality and data, even when the device's cybersecurity has been compromised.
542 543		(b)	The design should provide methods for retention and recovery of device configuration by an authenticated privileged user.
544 545 546 547		(c)	The design should specify the level of autonomous functionality (resilience) any component of the system possesses when its communication capabilities with the rest of the system are disrupted including disruption of significant duration.
548 549 550 551 552		(d)	Devices should be designed to be resilient to possible cybersecurity incident scenarios such as network outages, Denial of Service attacks, excessive bandwidth usage by other products, disrupted quality of service (QoS), and excessive jitter (i.e., a variation in the delay of received packets).

# 553 VI. Labeling Recommendations for Devices with 554 Cybersecurity Risks

555 This section gives background on some device labeling requirements and regulations and 556 explains the role labeling may have in safety and effectiveness for devices with cybersecurity 557 risks. It then contains labeling recommendations for communicating relevant security 558 information to end-users that may help manufacturers comply with applicable requirements and 559 help ensure a device remains safe and effective throughout its life-cycle.

560

FDA regulates device labeling in several ways. For example, section 502(f) of the Federal Food,
Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) requires that labeling include adequate directions for use.
Under section 502(a)(1) of the FD&C Act, a medical device is deemed misbranded if its labeling
is false or misleading in any particular. Under section 201(n), labeling may be misleading if it

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

565 fails to reveal facts material with respect to consequences which may result from use of the article under the conditions of use prescribed in the labeling or under such conditions of use as 566 567 are customary or usual. See also 21 CFR 1.21. 568 569 FDA device regulations contain further requirements related to labeling. For example, 21 CFR 570 801.5 requires that labeling include adequate directions for use, including statements of all 571 conditions, purposes, or uses for which the device is intended (e.g., hazards, warnings, 572 precautions, contraindications). For prescription devices, 21 CFR 801.109(c) requires that 573 labeling include any relevant hazards, contraindications, side effects, and precautions under 574 which practitioners licensed by law to administer the device can use the device safely and for the 575 purpose for which it is intended. 576 577 For devices with cybersecurity risks, informing end-users of relevant security information may 578 be an effective way to comply with labeling requirements. FDA also believes that informing 579 end-users of security information through labeling may be an important part of QSR design 580 controls to help mitigate cybersecurity risks and help ensure the continued safety and 581 effectiveness of the device. Therefore, when drafting labeling for inclusion in a premarket submission, a manufacturer should consider all applicable labeling requirements and how 582 informing users through labeling may be an effective way to manage cybersecurity risks. 583 584 Specifically, we recommend the following be included in labeling to communicate to end-users 585 relevant security information:<sup>30</sup> 586 587 1. Device instructions and product specifications related to recommended 588 cybersecurity controls appropriate for the intended use environment (e.g., anti-virus software, use of a firewall). 589 590 591 A description of the device features that protect critical functionality, even 2. 592 when the device's cybersecurity has been compromised. 593 594 3. A description of backup and restore features and procedures to regain 595 configurations. 596 4. 597 Specific guidance to users regarding supporting infrastructure 598 requirements so that the device can operate as intended. 599 5. 600 A description of how the device is or can be hardened using secure 601 configuration. Secure configurations may include end point protections 602 such as anti-malware, firewall/firewall rules, whitelisting, security event 603 parameters, logging parameters, physical security detection. 604 605 6. A list of network ports and other interfaces that are expected to receive 606 and/or send data, and a description of port functionality and whether the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See IEC TR 80001-2-2 and IEC TR 80001-2-8 and IEC TR 80001-2-9 for further information

607 608 609		ports are incoming or outgoing (note that unused ports should be disabled).
610 611	7.	A description of systematic procedures for authorized users to download version-identifiable software and firmware from the manufacturer.
612 613 614	8.	A description of how the design enables the device to announce when anomalous conditions are detected (i.e., security events). Security event
615 616 617		types could be configuration changes, network anomalies, login attempts, anomalous traffic (e.g., send requests to unknown entities).
618 619 620 621 622	9.	A description of how forensic evidence is captured, including but not limited to any log files kept for a security event. Log files descriptions should include how and where the log file is located, stored, recycled, archived, and how it could be consumed by automated analysis software (e.g., Intrusion Detection System, IDS).
623 624 625 626	10.	A description of the methods for retention and recovery of device configuration by an authenticated privileged user.
620 627 628	11.	Sufficiently detailed system diagrams for end-users.
629 630 631 632 633 634	12.	A CBOM including but not limited to a list of commercial, open source, and off-the-shelf software and hardware components to enable device users (including patients, providers, and healthcare delivery organizations (HDOs)) to effectively manage their assets, to understand the potential impact of identified vulnerabilities to the device (and the connected system), and to deploy countermeasures to maintain the device's essential
635		performance.
636 637 638	13.	Where appropriate, technical instructions to permit secure network (connected) deployment and servicing, and instructions for users on how to respond upon detection of a cybersecurity vulnerability or incident.
639 640 641 642 643	14.	Information, if known, concerning device cybersecurity end of support. At the end of support, a manufacturer may no longer be able to reasonably provide security patches or software updates. If the device remains in service following the end of support, the cybersecurity risks for end-users can be expected to increase over time.
644 645 646		ons aim to communicate to end-users relevant security information, thereby ce remains safe and effective through its life-cycle.

**Draft** – Not for Implementation

# 647 VII. Cybersecurity Documentation

648

649 This section lists recommended documentation manufacturers should submit in their premarket

650 submission in addition to any submitted software documentation<sup>31</sup>. Specifically, FDA

651 recommends that manufacturers include documentation of the design features from section V

above, as well as risk management documentation, and labeling to demonstrate a risk-based

approach that incorporates design features and a level of cybersecurity resilience appropriate forthe device.

655	А.	Des	ign Documentation
656			
657		The c	lesign documentation should demonstrate that the device is trustworthy.
658		1.	For Tier 1 devices, documentation that addresses each recommendation in
659			Section V.
660		2.	For Tier 2 devices, documentation that addresses each recommendation in
661			Section V or include a risk-based rationale for why a cybersecurity design
662			control was not necessary. Risk-based rationales should leverage an
663			analysis of exploitablity to describe likelihood instead of probability.
664		3.	System Diagrams sufficiently detailed to permit an understanding of how
665			the specific device design elements (from section V) are incorporated into
666			a system-level and holistic picture. Analysis of the entire system is
667			necessary to understand the manufacturer's threat model and the device
668			within the larger ecosystem.
669			
670			System diagrams should include:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> <u>Content of Premarket Submissions for Software Contained in Medical Devices</u> <u>https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM089593</u>.

#### Draft – Not for Implementation

671			(a)	Network, architecture, flow, and state diagrams.
672 673			(b)	The interfaces, components, assets, communication pathways, protocols, and network ports.
674 675 676			(c)	Authentication mechanisms and controls for each communicating asset or component of the system including web sites, servers, interoperable systems, cloud stores, etc.
677 678			(d)	Users' roles and level of responsibility if they interact with these assets or communication channels.
679 680 681 682 683 684			(e)	Use of cryptographic methods should include descriptions of the method used and the type and level of cryptographic key usage and their style of use throughout your system (one-time use, key length, the standard employed, symmetric or otherwise, etc.). Descriptions should also include details of cryptographic protection for firmware and software updates.
685 686 687		4.	update	mary describing the design features that permit validated software s and patches as needed throughout the life cycle of the medical to continue to ensure its safety and effectiveness. <sup>32</sup>
(0.0	р	D:~!-		

688

# **B.** Risk Management Documentation

689

Risk assessments tie design to threat models, clinical hazards, mitigations, and testing. It is 690 691 important to establish a secure design architecture such that risk can be adequately managed. 692 The suggested documentation leverages the concept of a Security Risk management report as 693 described in the technical information report, AAMI TIR57 Principles for medical device security—Risk management,<sup>33</sup> although other forms of documentation that contain the same or 694 695 similar information are acceptable. A security risk management report is a comprehensive 696 approach that considers both security and safety risk analysis in a meaningful way. It provides a 697 summary of the evaluation, assessment, and mitigation activities that assure a device is 698 reasonably secure. The following recommendations relate to what is expected in the risk 699 management report of a trustworthy device.

7001.A system level threat model that includes a consideration of system level701risks, including but not limited to risks related to the supply chain (e.g., to702ensure the device remains free of malware), design, production, and703deployment (i.e., into a connected/networked environment).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> For more information on FDA's recommendations for managing postmarket cybersecurity vulnerabilities for marketed and distributed devices, see <u>Postmarket Management of Cybersecurity in Medical Devices</u> <u>https://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm482022.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> AAMI TIR57: Principles for medical device security—Risk management

704 705 706 707 708 709	2.	A specific list of all cybersecurity risks that were considered in the design of your device. We recommend providing descriptions of risk that leverage an analysis of exploitablity to describe likelihood instead of probability. If numerical probabilities are provided, we recommend providing additional information that explains how the probability was calculated.	
710 711 712 713	3.	A specific list and justification for all cybersecurity controls that were established for your device. This should include all risk mitigations and design considerations pertaining to intentional and unintentional cybersecurity risks associated with your device, including:	
714 715 716		(a)	A list of verifiable function/subsystem requirements related to access control, encryption/decryption, firewalls, intrusion detection/prevention, antivirus packages, etc.
717 718		(b)	A list of verifiable of security requirements impacting other functionality, data, and interface requirements.
719 720 721 722	4.	A description of the testing that was done to ensure the adequacy of cybersecurity risk controls (e.g., security effectiveness in enforcing the specified security policy, performance for required traffic conditions, stability and reliability as appropriate). Test reports should include:	
723		(a)	testing of device performance
724 725		(b)	evidence of security effectiveness of third-party OTS software in the system.
726 727 728		(c)	static and dynamic code analysis including testing for credentials that are "hardcoded", default, easily-guessed, and easily compromised.
729		(d)	vulnerability scanning
730		(e)	robustness testing
731		(f)	boundary analysis
732		(g)	penetration testing
733		(h)	Third Party test reports
734	-		
735	5.		eability matrix that links your actual cybersecurity controls to the
736 737		analys	ecurity risks that were considered in your security risk and hazard is.

#### **Draft** – Not for Implementation

738	6.	A CBOM cross referenced with the National Vulnerability Database
739		(NVD) or similar known vulnerability database. Provide criteria for
740		addressing known vulnerabilities and a rationale for not addressing
741		remaining known vulnerabilities, consistent with the FDA's final
742		guidance, Postmarket Management of Cybersecurity in Medical Devices. <sup>34</sup>

743

FDA believes that providing cybersecurity documentation like those recommended above will

help FDA find that your device meets its applicable statutory standard for premarket review.

# 746 VIII. Recognized Standards

747

748 Please refer to FDA's website for a current list of FDA recognized consensus standards

- addressing Information Technology (IT) and medical device security to date.
- 750

For an updated list of FDA recognized consensus standards the Agency recommends that you

refer to the FDA Recognized Consensus Standards Database,<sup>35</sup> and type "security" in the title

search for the current list of IT and medical device security consensus standards that are

- recognized by the Agency.
- 755

For information on recognition of consensus standards, see the guidance document <u>"CDRH</u>"

- 757 <u>Standard Operating Procedures for the Identification and Evaluation of Candidate Consensus</u>
   758 Standards for Recognition."<sup>36</sup>
- 759

760 For information on the use of standards in premarket submissions, see the guidance document

- 761 "Appropriate Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards in Premarket Submissions for Medical
- 762 <u>Devices.</u><sup>37</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> This activity would support compliance with purchasing controls (21 CFR 820.50) by ensuring that all purchased or otherwise received product and services conform to specified requirements regarding cybersecurity. Similarly, this activity would support compliance with design controls and design validation (21 CFR 820.30(g)) to help assure that devices conform to defined user needs and intended uses, including that the software and hardware in the device are free of unacceptable cybersecurity vulnerabilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfStandards/search.cfm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> <u>https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm077322</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup><u>https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm077295</u> .pdf