Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.



Finnegan's monthly update on developments affecting licensing and other IP transactions

May 2017

Favorable Capital Gains Taxation Rates Apply to Payments for Transfer of All Substantial Rights in Patents

by <u>John C. Paul</u>, <u>D. Brian Kacedon</u>, and <u>Jonathan J. Fagan</u>
The portion of a termination payment attributable to a transfer of all substantial rights in a patent may be treated as long-term capital gain and taxed at more favorable rates than ordinary income. Such favorable tax treatment can be enjoyed by members of a partnership that has received payment for transferring those patent rights.

Patent Litigation Settlement Agreements May Be Used to Support Reasonable Royalty Damages in Later Litigation

by John C. Paul. D. Brian Kacedon, and Anita Bhushan
Litigation settlement agreements can be submitted as
evidence to establish the amount of reasonable royalty
damages and are more likely to be admitted into
evidence when they occur at a later stage of the litigation,
if the use of the patented technology by the party that
settled is similar to the use made by the accused
infringer, and if there are no enhanced damages at issue
in the settled litigation.

eBay Not Liable for Listing Infringing Products of Third-Party Sellers—Not an Offer to Sell by eBay

by <u>John C. Paul</u>, <u>D. Brian Kacedon</u>, and <u>Ryan H. Ellis</u>
Third-party listings of infringing products for sale on eBay's online marketplace did not result in direct or indirect infringement by eBay. The court distinguished such third-party listings on Amazon and Alibaba marketplaces.

A Summary Affirmance by the Federal Circuit Can Bar Re-Litigation of Infringement Claims

by <u>John C. Paul. D. Brian Kacedon</u>, and <u>Kelly S. Horn</u>
When the Federal Circuit summarily affirms a trial court judgment, collateral estoppel can still apply to bar a patent owner from re-litigating an issue provided that the judgment of the trial court did not include a determination



Patent App[eals]® includes PDFs of all patent-related Federal Circuit decisions dating back to 2001. A user can search on keywords, judges, dates of decisions, lower court from which the case was appealed, case name, case number, and whether or not a case was heard en banc. In addition, if the decision was summarized for Federal Circuit IP blog, the Finnegan case summary is included.

of more than one issue, each of which could independently support the result.



DISCLAIMER: The information contained herein is intended to convey general information only and should not be construed as a legal opinion or as legal advice. The firm disclaims liability for any errors or omissions and readers should not take any action that relies upon the information contained in this newsletter. You should consult your own lawyer concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions. This promotional newsletter does not establish any form of attorney-client relationship with our firm or with any of our attorneys.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact:

John C. Paul, Editor D. Brian Kacedon, Editor Robert D. Wells, Editor Robert C. MacKichan III, Editor

Finnegan Resources

Finnegan publishes newsletters, blogs, and *IP Updates* that provide news, statistics, and analysis of recent court decisions. Our **newsletters** and **blogs** focus on **Federal Circuit practice**, **PTAB practice**, **trademark and copyright law**, **patent prosecution and counseling**, and **IP licensing**. To sign up to receive newsletters, blog posts, or *IP Updates*, please click **here**.

Atlanta • Boston • London • Palo Alto • Reston • Seoul • Shanghai • Taipei • Tokyo • Washington, DC

www.finnegan.com

 ${\it Copyright @ 2017 \; Finnegan, \; Henderson, \; Farabow, \; Garrett \; \& \; Dunner, \; LLP \; | \; All \; rights \; reserved }$

Preferences | Forward | Unsubscribe