

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

14cv0111

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

v.

PARROT S.A., PARROT, INC.,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION AT DOC. NO. 128

AND NOW, this 4th day of December 2014, upon consideration of Defendant Parrot S.A. and Parrot, Inc.'s Motion Challenging the Confidentiality Designations of the Depositions of Drone Technologies, Inc., Bruce Ding, and Yu-Tuan "Diane" Lee Under Paragraph 5 of the Protective Order (doc. no. 128), Plaintiff's opposition thereto (135), and Defendants' reply (136), and all other matters presented to the Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion is DENIED. The confidential designations on the deposition transcripts of Drone Technologies, Inc., Bruce Ding and Diane Lee are reasonable and proper, and consistent with practice within this District and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

s/ Arthur J. Schwab

Arthur J. Schwab
United States District Court Judge