UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Priority	____
Send	____
Enter	____
Closed	____
JS-5/JS-6	____
Scan Only	____

CASE NOs.: **CV 13-01480 GHK (DFM)** **DATE:** **June 19, 2015**
CV 13-01481 GHK (DFM)

TITLE: **Diamond Coating Technologies, LLC v. Hyundai Motor America, et al.; Diamond Coating Technologies, LLC v. Nissan Motor America, et al.**

==========

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE GEORGE H. KING

Beatrice Herrera
Deputy Clerk

Not Present
Court Reporter

COUNSEL PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF:

Not Present

COUNSEL PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:

Not Present

==========

PROCEEDINGS (in chambers): ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION

On May 5, 2015, Plaintiff Diamond Coating Technologies, LLC ("DCT") filed Motions for Reconsideration of the April 1, 2015 orders dismissing these cases without prejudice due to DCT's lack of prudential standing. In the Court's April 1 orders, the Court held that DCT lacked prudential standing because original assignee Sanyo retained substantial rights in the patents-in-suit. In its Motions for Reconsideration, DCT claimed that it had addressed its standing deficiencies by entering into an amended patent assignment and transfer agreement with Sanyo. On June 5, 2015, the Federal Circuit held in *Alps South, LLC v. The Ohio Willow Wood Company*, Nos. 2013-1452, -1488, 2014-1147, -1426, that a *nunc pro tunc* agreement executed post-filing cannot cure prudential standing defects. *Alps South* forecloses the basis for DCT's Motions for Reconsideration. DCT subsequently requested that the Court deny DCT's Motions for Reconsideration because *Alps South* is, at this time, controlling law.

Based on the foregoing, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motions for Reconsideration.

IT IS SO ORDERED.