

Judge Richard G. Stearns: ELECTRONIC ORDER entered granting in part and denying in part 108 Motion to Compel. Consistent with Cisco's offer of compromise, Cisco is to designate a witness to testify for up an additional two hours on topic 72. Egenera's motion is otherwise denied at this time as the preclusion relief requested is vague and overbroad. If and when Egenera identifies specific relevant, non-privileged, responsive, and non-duplicative evidence that Cisco should have but did not produce in the course of discovery, the court will entertain an appropriate motion at that time. (RGS, int2) (Entered: 04/24/2018)

As of April 25, 2018, PACER did not contain a publicly available document associated with this docket entry. The text of the docket entry is shown above.

Egenera, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc.
1-16-cv-11613 (MAD), 4/24/2018, docket entry 112