

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

IN RE: METHOD OF PROCESSING )  
ETHANOL BYPRODUCTS AND )  
RELATED SUBSYSTEMS ('858) PATENT )  
LITIGATION )

No. 1:10-mI-02181-LJM-DML


RELATED CASES: )  
1:10-cv-00180-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08000-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08001-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08002-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08003-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08004-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08005-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08006-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08007-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08008-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08009-LJM-DML )  
1:10-cv-08010-LJM-DML )  
1:13-cv-08012-LJM-DML )  
1:13-cv-08013-LJM-DML )  
1:13-cv-08014-LJM-DML )  
1:13-cv-08015-LJM-DML )  
1:13-cv-08016-LJM-DML )  
1:13-cv-08017-LJM-DML )  
1:13-cv-08018-LJM-DML )  
1:14-cv-08019-LJM-DML )  
1:14-cv-08020-LJM-DML )

**SECOND CORRECTED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO  
FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 58**

Through an Order dated October 23, 2014, Master Docket Number (“MDN”) 1351; and an Order dated October 28, 2014, MDN 1359; and an Order dated January 16, 2015, MDN 1412, the Court granted partial summary judgment in favor of Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs ACE Ethanol, LLC; GEA Mechanical Equipment US, Inc.; Al-Corn Clean Fuel; Blue Flint Ethanol, LLC; Big River Resources – Galva; Big River


Resources – West Burlington, LLC; Cardinal Ethanol; Flottweig Separation Technologies; Guardian Energy, LLC; ICM, Inc.; Lincolnway Energy, LLC; LincolnLand Agri-Energy, LLC; Little Sioux Corn Processors, LLLP; Homeland Energy Solutions, LLC; Pacific Ethanol Magic Valley, LLC; Southwest Iowa Renewable Energy, LLC; David Vander Griend; Western New York Energy, LLC; Bushmills Ethanol, Inc.; Chippewa Valley Ethanol Company, LLC; Heartland Corn Products; United Wisconsin Grain Producers; Aemetis, Inc.; Aemetis Advanced Fuels Keyes, Inc.; Pacific Ethanol Stockton; and Iroquois Bio-Energy, Co. (all Defendants, collectively, “Defendants”), and against Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendants GS CleanTech Corporation and Greenshift Corporation (collectively, “CleanTech”), on Defendants’ affirmative defenses and/or counterclaims related to invalidity based on anticipation, obviousness, the on-sale bar, lack of enablement (35 U.S.C. § 112(1)), and failure to name correct inventorship (35 U.S.C. § 102(f)). In addition, on September 15, 2016, the Court entered its Corrected Memorandum Opinion & Order After Bench Trial finding in favor of Defendants and against CleanTech on Defendants’ affirmative defenses and/or counterclaims that the patents-in-suit are unenforceable because the inventors and

unlawful conduct. Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant GS CleanTech is hereby dismissed with prejudice to the extent of its Amended Complaints.




by **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.**

this 27th day of September, 2016.

  
LARRY J. MCKINNEY, JUDGE  
United States District Court  
Southern District of Indiana

Laura A. Briggs, Clerk

BY:   
Deputy Clerk, U.S. District Court

Electronically distributed to all registered attorneys of record via ECF.