
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
District of Minnesota

AMENDED
JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Jodi A. Schwendimann

V.

Case Number: 11-820 (JRT/HB)

Arkwright Advanced Coating, Inc.

Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

The Court accepts the jury's verdict. Judgment is ordered based on the decision by the jury:

1. Schwendimann has proven that AACI has directly infringed at least one claim of the '623, '581, '475, '042, or '554 Patents;
2. As a result of AACI's conduct, plaintiff is entitled to damages in the amount of \$2,624,228.00;
3. Schwendimann has proven that AACI infringed willfully;
4. Schwendimann and Cooler Concepts have proven that Claims 1 and 11 of the '093 Patent (Yuan) are invalid;
5. Neither Schwendimann nor Cooler Concepts directly infringes the '214 Patent (Bamberg);
6. Schwendimann did not actively induce NuCoat or Cooler Concepts to infringe the '214 Patent (Bamberg).

November 13, 2017

Date

RICHARD D. SLETTEN, CLERK

s/ Heather Arent-Zachary

(By)

Heather Arent-Zachary Deputy Clerk

s/ John R. Tunheim

Chief Judge

United States District Court