

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

INTELLISOFT, LTD, a Delaware Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and ACER
INCORPORATED,

Defendants.

ACER AMERICA CORPORATION, a California
corporation, and ACER INCORPORATED, a
Taiwan corporation,

Counter-Plaintiffs,

v.

INTELLISOFT, LTD, a Delaware Corporation,
and BRUCE BIERMAN, an individual,

Counter-Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-06272-PJH

JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re Proposed Form of Final Judgment Stipulation and the Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 86), IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. JUDGMENT is entered in favor of Defendant Acer America Corporation and Defendant Acer Incorporated and against Plaintiff Intellisoft, Ltd. ("Intellisoft") on Intellisoft's Fourth Amended Complaint.

1
2 2. JUDGMENT is entered in favor of Counter-Plaintiff Acer America Corporation and
3 Counter-Plaintiff Acer Incorporated (collectively, “Acer”) and against Counter-Defendant Intellisoft,
4 Ltd. and Counter-Defendant Bruce Bierman (“Bierman”) on Acer’s First Amended Counterclaim for
5 Declaratory Relief finding that Bierman has not provided this Court with clear and convincing
6 evidence that Bierman invented or co-invented the patented technology in U.S. Patent No.
7 5,410,713, U.S. Patent No. 5,870,613, U.S. Patent No. 5,884,087 and U.S. Patent No. 5,903,765.

8 3. Acer America Corporation and Acer Incorporated shall be entitled to recoverable
9 costs.

10
11
12 Dated: February 4, 2019



13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
United States District Judge