

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

INTERVAL LICENSING LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AOL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: C13-263-MJP

CASE NUMBER: C13-264-MJP

CASE NUMBER: C13-265-MJP

CASE NUMBER: C13-266-MJP

— **Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

X **Decision by Court.** This action came to consideration before the Court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

THE COURT HAS ORDERED THAT

Based on this Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Docket Number 381), the Court hereby enters Final Judgment in favor of AOL, Inc.; Apple, Inc.; Google Inc.; and Yahoo!, Inc. (Defendants) in Case Nos. C13-263MJP, C13-264MJP, C13-265MJP, and C13-266MJP, respectively.

It is hereby ORDERED that:

(1) based on the Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Docket Number 381, Case No. C10-1385MJP), claims 15, 16, 17 and 18 of U.S. Patent No.

6,034,652 (the “’652 patent”) are invalid for lack of patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101;

(2) Final Judgment is entered in favor of AOL, Inc.; Apple, Inc.; Google Inc.; and Yahoo!, Inc. in Case Nos. C13-263MJP, C13-264MJP, C13-265MJP, and C13-266MJP, respectively;

(3) all of Defendant’s counterclaims asserted in Interval Licensing, LLC v. AOL, Inc., No. C13-263MJP, are dismissed without prejudice and Defendant shall have the right to assert any and all defenses and counterclaims to any claim for infringement of the ’652 patent in this or any future case;

(4) all of Defendant’s counterclaims asserted in Interval Licensing, LLC v. Apple, Inc., No. C13-264MJP, are dismissed without prejudice and Defendant shall have the right to assert any and all defenses and counterclaims to any claim for infringement of the ’652 patent in this or any future case;

(5) all of Defendant’s counterclaims asserted in Interval Licensing, LLC v. Google Inc., No. C13-265MJP, are dismissed without prejudice and Defendant shall have the right to assert any and all defenses and counterclaims to any claim for infringement of the ’652 patent in this or any future case; and

(6) all of Defendant’s counterclaims asserted in Interval Licensing, LLC v. Yahoo!, Inc., No. C13-266MJP, are dismissed without prejudice and Defendant shall have the right to assert any and all defenses and counterclaims to any claim for infringement of the ’652 patent in this or any future case.

//

//

Dated July 22, 2016.

William M. McCool

Clerk of Court

s/Paula McNabb

Deputy Clerk