

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

4 POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.,
a Delaware corporation,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
8 INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware
corporation, FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
9 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and
10 FAIRCHILD (TAIWAN) CORPORATION,
a Taiwanese corporation,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 09-cv-05235- MMC

VERDICT FORM

1 **VERDICT FORM**

2 When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the
3 directions provided. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions
4 contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to
5 the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in
6 the questions below.

7 We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them
8 under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

9 **REASONABLE ROYALTY**

- 10 1. What is the dollar amount Power Integrations has proved it is entitled to as a reasonable
11 royalty for infringement through March 4, 2014?

12 \$ 139,800,000.00

- 13 2. In arriving at the above figure, did the '079 patented feature create the basis for customer
14 demand for the infringing Fairchild products (Entire Market Value Rule)?

15 Yes X No _____

16
17 Your foreperson must sign and date this Verdict Form:

18
19 Dated: DECEMBER 17, 2015

20
21 Signed: Erin Kelly (foreperson)
22
23
24
25
26
27
28