

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

<p>ALEXANDER M. SHUKH, Plaintiff, v. SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC, SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, INC., SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY PLC, and UNKNOWN OWNERS AND ASSIGNEES, Defendants.</p>	<p>Civ. No. 10-404 (JRT/JJK)</p>
<p>SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC and SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, Counterclaimants, v. ALEXANDER M. SHUKH Counterclaim Defendant.</p>	<p>PROTECTIVE ORDER</p>

The above-entitled matter came before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge on Seagate's Motion for Protective Order (Doc. No. 388). Based on all the files, records, and proceedings herein, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

Seagate's Motion for Protective Order (Doc. No. 388), is **GRANTED IN**

PART and **DENIED IN PART** as follows:

Shukh shall withdraw his following subpoenas:

1. the December 28, 2012 subpoena to Dr. Bajorek (Bajorek Decl. Ex. C);
2. the January 4, 2012 subpoena to Ms. Heitzman (Heitzman Decl. Ex. B);
3. the December 18, 2012 subpoena to Intematix (Wright Decl. Ex. C);
4. the January 7, 2013 subpoena to the AAA (Wright Decl. Ex. F);
and
5. the December 17, 2012 and December 18, 2012 subpoenas to IBM (Wright Decl. Exs. B, D).

In addition, Seagate need not produce documents pursuant to Shukh's Rule 34 request regarding Dr. Bajorek's previous expert reports and testimony.

Seagate's request to preclude Shukh from serving further written expert discovery absent leave of the Court is DENIED.

Seagate's request to have Shukh compensate Dr. Christopher Bajorek and Angela Heitzman for their time, at their standard expert hourly rate, and costs incurred in responding to the subpoenas issued to them, is DENIED AS MOOT.

Date: January 18, 2013

s/ Jeffrey J. Keyes

JEFFREY J. KEYES

United States Magistrate Judge