

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GILEAD SCIENCES, INC.,

Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC. (Defendant only), MERCK
SHARP & DOHME CORP., and ISIS
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Defendants and
Counterclaimants.

Case No. 5:13-cv-04057-BLF

VERDICT FORM

1 We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under
2 the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

3 **I. U.S. PATENT NUMBER 7,105,499 (“THE ’499 PATENT”)**

4 **A. Claim 1.**

5 1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the ’499 patent is
6 invalid for lack of written description?

7 _____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) P No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

8 2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the ’499 patent is
9 invalid for lack of enablement?

10 _____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) P No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<p><i>If “YES”</i> to Questions 1 and/or 2, answer Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</p>	<p><i>If “NO”</i> to both Questions 1 and 2, skip Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</p>
--	---

11
12
13
14
15 3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the ’499 Patent is
16 invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

17 _____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

18
19 4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the ’499 Patent is
20 invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

21 _____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

B. **Claim 2.**

1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 2 of the '499 patent is invalid for lack of written description?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) P No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 2 of the '499 patent is invalid for lack of enablement?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) P No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<i>If "YES"</i> <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2, answer Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>	<i>If "NO"</i> <i>to both Questions 1 and 2, skip Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>
--	---

3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 2 of the '499 Patent is invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 2 of the '499 Patent is invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

1 **II. U.S. PATENT NUMBER 8,481,712 ("THE '712 PATENT")**

2 **A. Claim 1.**

3 1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '712 patent is
4 invalid for lack of written description?

5 _____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

6 2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '712 patent is
7 invalid for lack of enablement?

8 _____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<p>10 <i>If "YES"</i> 11 <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2,</i> 12 <i>answer Questions 3 and 4</i> <i>and go to the next claim.</i></p>	<p>10 <i>If "NO"</i> 11 <i>to both Questions 1 and 2,</i> 12 <i>skip Questions 3 and 4</i> <i>and go to the next claim.</i></p>
--	---

13 3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '712 Patent is
14 invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

15 _____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

16 4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '712 Patent is
17 invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

18 _____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

B. Claim 2.

1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 2 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of written description?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 2 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of enablement?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<p><i>If "YES"</i> <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2,</i> <i>answer Questions 3 and 4</i> <i>and go to the next claim.</i></p>	<p><i>If "NO"</i> <i>to both Questions 1 and 2,</i> <i>skip Questions 3 and 4</i> <i>and go to the next claim.</i></p>
---	--

3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 2 of the '712 Patent is invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 2 of the '712 Patent is invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

C. **Claim 3.**

1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 3 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of written description?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 3 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of enablement?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<i>If "YES"</i> <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2,</i> <i>answer Questions 3 and 4</i> <i>and go to the next claim.</i>	<i>If "NO"</i> <i>to both Questions 1 and 2,</i> <i>skip Questions 3 and 4</i> <i>and go to the next claim.</i>
---	--

3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 3 of the '712 Patent is invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 3 of the '712 Patent is invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

D. **Claim 5.**

1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 5 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of written description?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 5 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of enablement?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<i>If "YES"</i> <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2, answer Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>	<i>If "NO"</i> <i>to both Questions 1 and 2, skip Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>
--	---

3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 5 of the '712 Patent is invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 5 of the '712 Patent is invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E. **Claim 7.**

1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 7 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of written description?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 7 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of enablement?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<i>If "YES"</i> <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2, answer Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>	<i>If "NO"</i> <i>to both Questions 1 and 2, skip Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>
--	---

3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 7 of the '712 Patent is invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 7 of the '712 Patent is invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

F. **Claim 9.**

1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 9 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of written description?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 9 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of enablement?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) X No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<i>If "YES"</i> <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2, answer Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>	<i>If "NO"</i> <i>to both Questions 1 and 2, skip Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>
--	---

3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 9 of the '712 Patent is invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 9 of the '712 Patent is invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

G. **Claim 10.**

1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 10 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of written description?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) P No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 10 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of enablement?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) P No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<i>If "YES"</i> <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2, answer Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>	<i>If "NO"</i> <i>to both Questions 1 and 2, skip Questions 3 and 4 and go to the next claim.</i>
--	---

3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 10 of the '712 Patent is invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 10 of the '712 Patent is invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

_____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) _____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

H. **Claim 11.**

1. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 11 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of written description?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) P No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

2. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 11 of the '712 patent is invalid for lack of enablement?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) P No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

<i>If "YES"</i> <i>to Questions 1 and/or 2,</i> <i>answer Questions 3 and 4</i> <i>and go to the next claim.</i>	<i>If "NO"</i> <i>to both Questions 1 and 2,</i> <i>skip Questions 3 and 4</i> <i>and go to the next claim.</i>
---	--

3. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 11 of the '712 Patent is invalid for derivation from Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

4. Has Gilead proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 11 of the '712 Patent is invalid for prior invention by Jeremy Clark?

____ Yes (Verdict for Gilead) ____ No (Verdict for Merck and Ionis)

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Security Guard that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

Dated: March 22, 2016

C. Pusey
Presiding Juror